Effects of inter-row spacing on growth, seed yield and yield components of Soybean (*Glycine max*) in Makurdi, Benue State-Nigeria

Iyorkaa Nater^{1,2}, Omoigui Lucky Osabuohein^{1,2,4}, Ugbaa Macsamuel Sesugh^{1,2,3*}, Ekeuro Godspower Chibuike^{1,2}

and Odo Peter¹

1. Department of Plant Breeding and Seed Science, College of Agronomy, Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, NIGERIA

2. Molecular Biology Laboratory, Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, NIGERIA

3. Center for Innovation in Procurement, Environmental and Social Standards (CIPESS), Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, NIGERIA 4. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Kano Station, NIGERIA

*ugbaa.macsamuel@uam.edu.ng

Abstract

Despite the numerous benefits of soybean, its grain vield per unit area in Nigeria is low estimated at 1.2 tons ha⁻¹ compared to the worldwide average yield of 2.5 tons per hectare. The low yield is due in part to limited use of phosphorous fertilizer and poor crop management practices including low plant populations. Farmers in northern Nigeria including Benue State sow seeds in rows spaced 75cm. There has been little recommendation as to the exact row spacing that will give higher yield in soybean especially in Benue State. It is this gap that this research work seeks to address. This study was designed to determine the effects of row spacing on agronomic traits and yield of soybean in Makurdi, Benue state. The experiment was a 2 (row spacing) x 6 (soybean varieties) factorial arrangement laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications.

Data collected were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and treatments means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% and 1% level of probability. Also cost benefit ratio (CBR) was calculated as gross return divided by cost of production. This study shows that reducing row spacing or plant population from 75cm x 10cm to 50 cm x 10 cm significantly increased the plant height from 67.24 to 72.07cm. Meanwhile, it reduced the number of branches per plant from 7.37 to 5.01 and number of pods per plant from 55.90 to 49.69 pods. With respect to varieties, TGX-1951-4F, TGX-1951-3F and TGX-1945-1F had the highest grain yield of 3.9, 3.5 and 3.5 tons per hectare respectively as compared to other varieties which had lower yields. Cost Benefit Ratio (CBR) showed high advantage in growing soybean at 50cm inter-row spacing than at 75cm interrow spacing. Therefore, grain yield in soybean is largely a function of plant population per m^2 . To increase soybean productivity in Makurdi Benue State of Nigeria, it is recommended that closer row spacing of 50cm x 10cm should be adopted for the soybean varieties tested.

Keyword: Soybean, row spacing, plant population, cost benefit ratio.

Introduction

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] is an annual legume that belongs to the legume family *Fabaceae*. It is a strictly selfpollinating legume with 2n = 40 chromosomes²⁵. Soybean is among the major industrial and food crops grown in every continent. The crop can be successfully grown in the tropical, subtropical and temperate climates^{11,22}. It originated from South East Asia from where it spread into many parts of the world²⁰. Soybean has an average protein content of 40% and is more protein-rich than any of the common vegetable or animal food sources found in Nigeria.

The seeds contain about 20% oil on dry matter basis and some appreciable amounts of vitamins and minerals⁸. The beans can be cooked and eaten as vegetable as well as processed into many food products such as soy milk, soy yoghurt, soy flour¹⁸, baby weaning food, soy sauce and dawadawa^{19,25}.

It has therefore, become a major source of high quality and cheap protein for the poor and rural households in Nigeria⁸. Soybean cultivation in Nigeria has expanded as a result of its nutritive and economic importance and diverse domestic usage. The rapid growth in the poultry sector has also increased the demand for soybean meal in Nigeria⁵. Agronomically, soybean improves soil fertility by hosting the bacteria that convert atmospheric nitrogen into nitrates for its own use and benefit the succeeding cereal like maize and sorghum grown in rotation. It is also important in the management of *Striga hermonthica*²¹.

The world total production of soybean in 2012 was estimated at 348.7 million metric tons⁹. The U.S., Argentina, Brazil, China and India are the world's largest soybean producers and represent more than 90% of global soybean production²³. The average worldwide yield for soybean crops in 2018 was 2.79 tons per hectare¹⁰. The three largest producers had average nationwide soybean crop yields of about 3 tons per hectare¹⁰.

Nigeria alone accounted for about 758033 metric tons of Africa's total production in 2018¹⁰. Benue State accounted for about 45% of the total production in the Nigeria⁶.

Despite the numerous benefits of the crop, soybean grain yield per unit area in Nigeria is low, estimated at 970 kg ha⁻¹ compared to the worldwide average yield of 2791 kg ha^{-1.9} The low yield is due in part to limited use of phosphorous fertilizer and poor crop management practices including low plant populations¹⁵.

Farmers in northern Nigeria including Benue State plant seeds in rows spaced 75cm. All tractor and animal mounted ridgers available have a fixed width of 75cm, leaving farmers with no option to reduce the row spacing¹⁶. Higher yields of soybean can be obtained in narrow-row spacing if plant stands are well established and weeds are adequately controlled⁴. Gary and Dale¹⁰ in an experiment comparing of 75cm rows versus 30cm rows and 90cm rows versus 30cm rows found out that the narrow row spacing gave 28 percent and 31 percent respectively higher yield over the wider row spacing.

Despite the numerous research work done by other researchers, there has been little recommendation as to the exact row spacing that will give higher yield in soybean especially in Benue State. It is this gap that this research work seeks to address. This study was designed to determine the effects of row spacing on agronomic traits and yield of soybean in Makurdi, Benue state.

Material and Methods

Planting Material: Six soybean varieties obtained from the Molecular Biology Laboratory, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, were used for this study.

Experimental site: The experiment was conducted at the Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, Teaching and Research Farm (7.76°N and longitude 8.62°E and at the elevation of about 103 m above sea level). The soil at the site is well drained sandy loam.

Experimental Design and Treatments: The experiment was a 2 x 6 factorial arrangement laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The main-plot factor was row spacing while the sub-plot factor was soybean varieties. Each replication consisted of two blocks representing the two row spacing and each block consisted of six (6) plots of 3×3 m to which the six varieties were assigned.

| Table 1 | | | |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|----------|
| Varieties of Soybean used | for | the | Research |

| S.N. | Variety | Maturity Period |
|------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 | TGX-1945-1F | 102 – 111 DAP (M) |
| 2 | TGX-1951-3F | 100 – 105 DAP (M) |
| 3 | TGX-1448-2E | 115 - 120 DAP (L) |
| 4 | TGX-1935-3F | 79 – 102 DAP (E) |
| 5 | TGX-1904-6F | 101 – 108 DAP (M) |
| 6 | TGX-1951-4F | 101 – 107 DAP (M) |

Source². DAP = Day after planting; M = Medium; L = Late; E = Early

Agronomic Practices during the Experiment: The land was first sprayed with glyphosate and later ridged manually according to the different row spacing. The six varieties were treated with seed dressing chemical (seedrex) and sow at the intra row spacing of 10 cm x 3 seeds/hill for all the row spacings and later thinned to 2 plants per hill at 14 DAS. NPK 15:15:15 at the rate of 100 kg/ha and SSP at the rate of 138.8 kg/ha were mixed to get a formulation of NPK 15:40:15 ai/ha and applied for both treatment. The fertilizer was drilled by the sides of soybean rows at seven days after sowing (7DAS).

The sown field was first sprayed with pendemetaline mixed with paraquate at the rate of 250 ml and 300 ml respectively, mixed in 20 litre Knapsack sprayer to control pre-emergence and emerged weed seeds at sowing. Hand weeding was also done at 50% podding to keep the field clean. Harvesting was done manually with cutlass by cutting the plants at the ground level at 85 % and 80 % pod maturity for the non-shattering varieties and shattering varieties respectively as recommended by Dugje et al⁸. Each plot was harvested separately, tied and weighed. The harvested soybean was sun-dried for two (2) weeks before threshing and winnowing.

Observations were recorded on the following parameters; days to first flower, days to 50 % flowering, plant height at 50% flowering and 95 % podding, number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, hundred (100) seed weight, grain yield (kg) per ha and harvest index.

Data collected were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) using statistical analysis system¹⁷ and treatments means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5 % level and 1 % level of probability. Also cost benefit ratio (CBR) was calculated as gross return divided by cost of production. CBR value above 1 is considered as advantage¹⁸.

Results and Discussion

The summary of the analysis of variance showing the yield and nine agronomic traits for soybean cultivars as affected by row spacing is presented in table 2. The data indicated that there was highly significant difference with respect to row spacing for all the parameters measured at 1 % level of probability, except for 100 seed weight where significant difference exists at 5 % level of probability. However, days to first flower were not significantly different.

Varietal effect showed highly significant difference at 1 % level of probability for days to first flower, days to 50 % flower, plant height at 95 % podding, number of branches per plant, grain yield per hectare and 100 seed weight. Also significant difference at 5 % level of probability was observed for harvest index. Meanwhile, there was no significant varietal effect for number of pods per plant and number of seed per pod.

Row spacing × variety interaction showed highly significant difference for number of pods per plant, seed per pod and 100 seed weight at 1 % level of probability whereas there was significant difference at 5 % level of probability for days to 50 % flower, plant height at flowering and plant height at 95 % podding. For all other parameters studied, there was no significant row spacing × variety interaction effect.

Table 3 presents the mean performance of five agronomic traits of soybean cultivars evaluated. Inter row spacing did not have effect on soybean cultivars for days to first flower. There were cultivar differences in terms of days to first flower. For example, the cultivar TGX-1935-3F which is the early maturing cultivar was the first to flower at 37.67 days after sowing followed by the medium maturing cultivars-TGX-1945-1F, TGX-1951-3F, TGX-1951-4F TGX-1904-6F which flowered at 41.83, 42.38 and 44.83 days after sowing respectively. The late maturing cultivar TGX-1448-2E was the last to flower at 45.0 days after sowing.

In some of the soybean cultivars evaluated, as the inter-row spacing increased, the number of days to 50 % flower also increased. For example, days to 50 % flower increased in

cultivars TGX-1935-3F, TGX-1951-3F and TGX-1951-4F as inter-row spacing increased from 50 cm to 75 cm.

In most of the soybean cultivars, plants were taller at flowering for 50cm inter row spacing except for cultivar TGX-1448-2E which is a late maturing cultivar that grew taller at 75cm inter row spacing. A similar trend was observed for plant height at 95 % podding except for cultivar TGX-1951-4F which is a medium maturing cultivar that attained higher plant height at inter row spacing of 75 cm.

Inter row spacing of 75cm produced higher number of pods per plant except in cultivar TGX-1935-3F an early maturing and TGX-1448-2E which is late maturing cultivar that produced higher number of pods at 50 cm inter row spacing.

For number of seed per pod, there was no difference for both row spacing and cultivar. However, wider inter row spacing gave the highest number of branches per plant for all the cultivars tested. A similar trend was also observed for 100 seed weight for most of the cultivars tested except TGX-1448-2E which produced larger seed at narrow row spacing.

Table 2 Analysis of Variance for Yield and Nine Agronomic Traits of Soybean Cultivars grown under different Row Spacing at Makurdi in 2013

| Source of | DFF | D50_F | PLTHTF | PLH95_PD | PDPLT | SDPD | BRPLT | YLDHA | 100SDWT | HI |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|--------------|---------|----------|
| Variation | | | | | | | | | | |
| ROWSP (R) | 0.05ns | 2.25** | 211.46** | 211.85** | 468** | 0.05ns | 49.94** | 7947606.73** | 0.43* | 0.03** |
| VARIETY (V) | 37.47** | 15.25** | 69.73** | 69.70** | 45.83ns | 0.02ns | 1.83** | 195282.40** | 11.27** | 0.003* |
| R X V | 1.07ns | 1.65* | 47.65* | 47.65* | 244.49** | 0.18** | 2.30** | 38408.52ns | 0.89** | 0.0003ns |
| ERROR | 4.06 | 2.25 | 65.08 | 50.93 | 89.37 | 0.33 | 0.92 | 107803.65 | 0.55 | 0.004 |
| CV | 4.67 | 3.16 | 11.58 | 12.43 | 18.08 | 9.5 | 15.49 | 9.63 | 5.49 | 19.76 |

*= significant at $P \le 0.05$, **= highly significant at $P \le 0.001$, ns= not significant, DFF= Days to first flower, D50-F= Days to 50 percent flower, PLTHTF= Plant height at flowering, PLH95-PD= Plant height at 95 percent podding, PDPLT= Number of pods per plant, SDPD= Number of seeds per pod, BRPLT = Number of branches per plant, YLDHA= Grain yield Kg per hectare, 100SDWT= 100 seed weight, HI= Harvest index.

 Table 3

 Mean Performance of Five Agronomic Traits of Soybean Cultivars Grown under Different Row Spacing at Makurdi in 2013

| | DFF | | D50_F | | | PLTHF | | | PLH95_PD | | | PODPLT | | | |
|----------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Row s | pacing | | Row s | pacing | | Row s | pacing | | Row s | pacing | | Row s | pacing | |
| Variety | 50cm | 75cm | Mean | 50cm | 75cm | Mean | 50cm | 75cm | Mean | 50cm | 75cm | Mean | 50cm | 75cm | Mean |
| TGX 1448-2E | 45.00 | 44.67 | 44.83 | 49.00 | 49.00 | 49.00 | 54.04 | 55.27 | 54.66 | 62.67 | 62.65 | 62.66 | 52.47 | 51.40 | 51.94 |
| TGX 1904-6F | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 48.67 | 48.67 | 48.67 | 59.17 | 46.23 | 52.70 | 70.10 | 67.90 | 69.00 | 37.27 | 60.53 | 48.90 |
| TGX 1935-3F | 37.67 | 38.67 | 38.17 | 43.33 | 45.67 | 44.50 | 63.58 | 59.6 | 61.59 | 82.00 | 68.20 | 75.10 | 59.60 | 46.07 | 52.84 |
| TGX 1945-1F | 42.67 | 42.00 | 42.34 | 48.00 | 47.33 | 47.67 | 59.18 | 56.27 | 57.73 | 73.43 | 69.60 | 71.52 | 49.53 | 62.27 | 55.90 |
| TGX 1951-3F | 42.33 | 41.33 | 41.83 | 46.67 | 47.67 | 47.17 | 62.41 | 52.1 | 57.23 | 74.23 | 62.06 | 68.15 | 49.93 | 55.13 | 52.53 |
| TGX 1951-4F | 41.33 | 42.33 | 41.83 | 47.33 | 47.67 | 47.50 | 60.75 | 60.6 | 60.68 | 70.01 | 73.00 | 71.51 | 49.33 | 60.00 | 54.67 |
| Mean | 42.33 | 42.33 | | 47.17 | 47.67 | | 59.86 | 55.01 | | 72.07 | 67.24 | | 49.69 | 55.90 | |
| SE Row Spacing | 0.4 | 8ns | | 0.3 | 5** | | 1.6 | 8** | | 1.9 | 0** | | 2.2 | 3** | |
| SE Variety | 0.8 | }** | | 0.6 | 1** | | 2.9 | 1** | | 3.2 | 9** | | 3.8 | 6ns | |
| SE Row Spacing | 1.1 | 6ns | | 0.8 | 37* | | 4.1 | 2* | | 4.6 | 6** | | 5.4 | 6** | |
| x Variety | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

*= significant at P \leq 0.05, **= highly significant at P \leq 0.001, ns: non-significant difference, SE: standard error. DF: Days to first flower, D50-F: Days to 50 percent flower, PLTHTF: Average plant height at flowering, PLH95-PD: Average plant height at 95 percent podding, PDPLT: Average number of pods per plan.

In all the soybean cultivars tested, 50 cm inter row spacing produced higher Harvest index. However, cultivar differences existed; TGX-1951-4F, TGX-1951-3F and TGX-1904-6F gave the highest harvest index. This was followed by TGX-1935-3F, TGX-1945-1F and TGX-1448-2E.

Figure 1 indicates that reducing inter row spacing from 75cm to 50cm in soybean has significantly increased grain yield per hectare in Makurdi from 3038 – 3877 kg/ha. However, cultivar differences existed; TGX-1935-3F (4086 kg/ha), TGX-1951-3F (4017 kg/ha) and TGX-1951-4F (4017 kg/ha) produced the highest grain yield per hectare while TGX-1945-1F (3904 kg/ha) TGX-1448-2E (3628 kg/ha) and TGX-1904-6F (3612 kg/ha) produced grain yield at 50 cm inter row spacing.

At 75 cm inter row spacing, TGX 1951-4F, TGX 1945-1F, TGX 1951-3F, TGX 1935-3F, TGX 1448-2E and TGX 1904-6F had grain yield of 3785, 3097, 2999, 2859, 2781 and 2708 respectively in kg/ha.

The table 5a and 5b show that variable cost for the production of soybean per hectare in Makurdi for 50cm inter row spacing is NGN 28, 730 higher compared to the production at 75 cm inter row spacing. However, the total output (grain yield per hectare) is 839 kg higher in 50cm inter row spacing compared to 75 cm inter row spacing. Also the total income incurred for the production of soybean at inter row spacing of 50cm is NGN 71, 315 higher compared to 75 cm inter row spacing.

The study indicates that row spacing has no significant effect on days to first flower. However, narrow row spacing reduced the number of days to 50 % flower probably by facilitating crop canopy growth that reached maximum light interception that aids flowering. This confirmed the finding of Lee¹⁷.

The higher plant height observed at flowering and 95 % podding in the narrow row spacing is largely attributed to the early canopy closure which encouraged apical growth over branching. The result agrees with Sarkodie et al²¹, who reported that narrow row spacing increases the plant height.

The higher number of branches observed in the wider rows over the narrow rows could be because the plants in the wider row had more space to branch. The result agrees with those who reported that plants in wider spacing are capable of apportioning more resources to increase branch number per plant than plants in narrow rows.

The higher number of pods per plant recorded for wider rows could be as a result of the higher number of branches observed in the wider rows per plant. This confirm the report of Boquet⁷ and Jukusko et al¹³ that number of pods per plant decreases with increasing plant density per unit area which invariably results in less number of branches produced per plant.

The non-significant difference observed in the number of seed per pod for both narrow and wider row spacing is an indication that number of seed per plant is an inherent character in plants and is not affected by the row spacing. This result agrees with Sarkodie et al²¹ who reported that row spacing does not affect number of seed per pod, but contrasted with Jukusko et al¹³ who reported an increase in pod length and seed number per pod with increase in row and plant spacing in cowpea.

Table 4 Mean performance of yield and yield components traits for Soybean cultivars grown under different row spacing at Makurdi

| | | SDPOD BRPLT | | | SD100WT | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|-------------|------|-------|---------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|
| | Row s | pacing | | Row s | pacing | | Row s | pacing | | Row s | pacing | |
| Variety | 50cm | 75cm | Mean | 50cm | 75cm | Mean | 50cm | 75cm | Mean | 50cm | 75cm | Mean |
| TGX 1448-2E | 1.73 | 1.93 | 1.83 | 5.07 | 9.00 | 7.04 | 12.77 | 11.70 | 12.23 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.30 |
| TGX 1904-6F | 1.93 | 1.77 | 1.85 | 5.67 | 6.40 | 6.04 | 11.82 | 12.10 | 11.98 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| TGX 1935-3F | 2.13 | 1.60 | 1.87 | 5.47 | 7.40 | 6.44 | 12.28 | 13.60 | 12.94 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.32 |
| TGX 1945-1F | 2.07 | 1.87 | 1.97 | 4.87 | 6.60 | 5.74 | 14.58 | 14.80 | 14.67 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.32 |
| TGX 1951-3F | 1.77 | 2.10 | 1.94 | 4.53 | 8.27 | 6.40 | 14.79 | 15.20 | 15.00 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.33 |
| TGX 1951-4F | 1.77 | 2.10 | 1.94 | 4.47 | 6.53 | 5.50 | 14.83 | 15.00 | 14.91 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.37 |
| Mean | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 5.01 | 7.37 | 6.19 | 13.51 | 13.70 | | 0.36 | 0.30 | |
| SE Row Spacing | 0.0 | 4ns | | 0.2 | 3** | | 0.1 | 8* | | 0.0 | 1** | |
| SE Variety | 0.0 | 7ns | | 0.3 | 9** | | 0.3 | 0** | | 0.0 |)2* | |
| SE RSP x Variety | 0.1 | 0** | | 0.5 | 5** | | 0.4 | 3** | | 0.3 | 7ns | |

*= significant at P \leq 0.05, **= highly significant at P \leq 0.001, ns: non-significant difference, SE: standard error, RSP: row spacing, Var : variety

| | Production cost/ha (N) | Treatment | | Difference (N) |
|------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| | | 75cm | 50cm | |
| | | (N) | (N) | |
| Labour | Spraying | 3000 | 3000 | |
| | land preparation | 20000 | 25000 | 5000 |
| | planting/ fertilizer application | 10000 | 10000 | |
| | Weeding | 8000 | 8000 | |
| | Harvesting | 8000 | 8000 | |
| | Threshing | 8000 | 10000 | 2000 |
| Farm Input | Seed | 14000 | 21000 | 7000 |
| | seed dressing (seedrex) | 1120 | 1680 | 560 |
| | Herbicide | 10000 | 10000 | |
| | Fertilizer | 28328 | 28328 | |
| | TOTAL | 116448 | 125008 | 8560 |
| | Yield (Average) | 3,038kg/ha | 3,877kg/ha | 839kg |
| Sal | es (N 85/kg) | 258,230 | 329,545 | 71,315 |
| Cost | Benefit Ratio | 2.2 | 2.6 | 8.3 |

Table 5aEffect of Row Spacing on Cost Benefit Ratio

Note: Cost Benefit Ratio ≤ 1 is advantage

 Table 5b

 Effect of Row Spacing on Quantity Cost Implications of Inputs used per Hectare

| Input/labour used | Quantity | Used/ha | Cost (N) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | 50cm | 75cm | |
| Seed | 84kg/ha | 56kg | 250/kg |
| Fertilizer (NPK) | 100kg/ha | 100kg | 6000/50kg bag |
| Fertilizer (SSP) | 138.8kg/ha | 138.8kg | 6000/50kg bag |
| Seedrex | 21 satchet/ha | 14 satchet | 80/satchet |
| Herbicide (Pendeline) | 2 litres/ha | 2 litres | 1500/litre |
| Herbicide (Paraquat) | 3 litres/ha | 3 litres | 1000/Litre |
| Glyphosate | 4 Litres | 4 litres | 1000/Litre |
| Spraying (Land Preparation) | 4 liters/ha | 4 liters/ha | 500/liter |
| Spraying (Pre-emergence) | 2 liters/ha | 2 liters/ha | 500/liter |

The higher 100 seed weight obtained from the wider row spacing over narrow row spacing could be due to better availability of nutrients and better translocation of photosynthates from the source to sink and may be due to higher accumulation of photosynthates in the seed as reported by Ahmed et al.³ The result however, disagreed with the reports of Jason¹² and Boquet⁷ that row spacing does not have effect on seed size.

The higher harvest index recorded for narrow row spacing could be as a result of the higher plant population per area in the narrow row spacing. Yemare et al^{26} recorded lower harvest index in the wider spacing in onion and concluded that it might have been due to the production of more vegetative parts including flower parts which may direct to assimilate away from the economically important bulbs.

Kabir and Sarkar¹⁴ also reported a significant interaction effect of row spacing on harvest index of Mung bean. They recorded the highest value from varieties at closer spacing and suggested that it was probably due to reduced vegetative biomass.

The grain yield per hectare was observed to be higher in the narrow row spacing than the wider row spacing. The higher grain yield in the narrow row spacing could be as a result of the higher plant population found in the narrow row spacing and the higher harvest index was also found in the narrow row spacing over the wider row spacing.

Kamara et al¹⁶, Boquet⁷ and Ball et al⁵ reported similar results and concluded that reducing row spacing or increasing plant population reduces yield of individual plant, but increases yield per unit area.

The result also indicates that varieties TGX-1935-3F, TGX-1951-3F and TGX-1951-4F which gave the highest grain yield values of 4.0 tons and above were more adapted to narrow row spacing in Makurdi, Benue State than varieties TGX-1945-1F, TGX 1448-2E and TGX-1904-6F which had less than 4.0 tons per hectare. The result agrees with Jukusko et al¹³ who reported similar result in cowpea and concluded that short duration varieties are more adopted to narrow row spacing than the late maturing varieties.



Figure 1: Effects of Inter-row Spacing on Grain Yield of Soybean Genotypes in Makurdi

Finally, the result of benefit cost ratio (BCR) also showed a high economic benefit of 8.9 when soybean was planted at narrow row spacing. The result agrees with the report of Jason¹².

Conclusion

This study shows that reducing row spacing or plant population from 75 cm x 10 cm to 50 cm x 10 cm significantly increased the plant height from 67.24 to 72.07 cm. Meanwhile, it reduced the number of branches per plant from 7.37 to 5.01 and number of pods per plant from 55.90 to 49.69 pods. However, the overall seed yield per plot and grain yield per hectare were found to be higher in 50cm x 10cm row spacing with an average of 3.9 tons per hectare. With respect to varieties, TGX-1951-4F, TGX-1951-3F and TGX-1945-1F had the highest grain yield of 3.9, 3.5 and 3.5 tons per hectare respectively as compared to other varieties which had lower yields. Cost Benefit Ratio (CBR) showed high advantage in growing soybean at 50 cm inter-row spacing than at 75 cm inter-row spacing.

Therefore, reducing inter-row spacing or increasing plant population reduces the performance of other yield parameters but increases the grain yield per m². Therefore, grain yield in soybean is largely a function of plant population per m^2 . To increase soybean productivity in Makurdi Benue State of Nigeria, it is recommended that closer row spacing of 50 cm x 10 cm should be adopted for the soybean varieties tested.

References

1. Abbey T.K., Ameyibor K., Alhassan A., Essiah J.W., Fometu E. and Wiredu M.B., Integrated Science for Senior Secondary Schools, GAST, MOE, 75 – 78 (**2001**)

2. Abhijit S., Hand Book on Numerical Agronomy, 978932741020 (2014)

3. Ahmed M.E., El Naim and Abdelrhim A.J., Effect of Plant Density and Cultivar on Growth and Yield of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp), Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, **4(8)**, 3148 – 3153 (**2010**)

4. Fageria N.K., Baligar V.C. and Jones C.A., Growth and Mineral Nutrition of Field Crops, 3rd ed., CRC Press (**2010**)

5. Ball R.A., Purcell L.C. and Vories E.D., Short Season Soybean Yield Compensation in response to Population and Water Regime, *Crop Sci.*, **40**, 1070 – 1078 (**2000**)

6. Benuestatenews, Makurdi-Weekly, Accessed 12 November, 2020 (2012)

7. Boquet D.J., Plant Population Density and Row Spacing Effect, on Soybean at Post Optimal Planting Dates, *Agron. J.*, **82**, 59 – 64 (**1990**)

8. Dugje I.Y. et al, Guide to Soybean Production in Northern Nigeria, IITA, Ibadan, 16 (2009)

9. FAOSTAT, Food and Agriculture Commodities Production, FAO of the United Nations (**2018**)

10. Gary L.K. and Dale L.F., Growth and Development of the Soybean Plant. Soybean production handbook, Kansas State University, 32 (**1997**)

11. IITA soybean over view, summary, 5 (2009)

12. De Brum Jason L. and Palle Pedersen, Effect of Row Spacing and Seeding Rate on Soybean Yield, *Agro Journal*, **100(3)**, 704 – 710 (**2008**)

13. Jukusko B.B., Anasunda U.I. and Mustapha A.B., Effect of Inter Row Spacing on Some Selected Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) varieties in Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria, IOSR - J. *Agri. Vet. Sc.*, **2(3)**, 30 – 35 (**2013**)

14. Kabir M.H. and Sarkar M.A.R., Seed yield of Mung bean as affected by variety and plant spacing in Khanif-1 season, *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, **6**(2), 239-244 (**2008**)

15. Kamara A.Y., Kwari J., Ekeleme F., Omoigui L.O. and Abaidoo R., Effect of Phosphorus Application and Soybean Cultivar on Grain and Dry Matter Yield of Subsequent Maize in the Tropical Savanna of North Eastern Nigeria, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, **7**, 2593 – 2599 (**2008**)

16. Kamara A.Y., Sylvester U., Ewansiha Steve Boahen and Abdulahi I.T., Agronomic Response of Soybean Varieties to Plant Population in the Guinea Savannas of Nigeria, *Agronomy Journal*, **106**, 1051 – 1059 (**2014**)

17. Lee C.D., Reducing Row Width to increase yield: Why it does not work, *Crop Management*, **5**(1), 1-7 (**2006**)

18. MoFA and CSIR, Soybean Production Guider Food Crops Development Product, Ghana's Ministry of Food and Agriculture, 38 (**2005**)

19. Ngeze P.B., Learn How to Grow Soybean, Nairobi, Kenya, CTA Publ., 21 (1993)

20. Norman M.T.T., Pearson, C.J. and Searle P.G.E., The Ecology of Tropical Food Crops, 2nd Ed. (**1995**)

21. Sarkodie-Addo J. and Mahama O., Growth and Yield Response of Early and Medium Maturity Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merrill) varieties to row spacing, *International Journal of Science and Advanced Technology*, **2**, 115–122 (**2012**)

22. Shurtleff W. and Aoyagi A., The soybean plant, botany, nomenclature, taxonomy, domestication and dissemination, soy into center, California, 40 (**2007**)

23. Soyatech L.L.C. and Bar Harbor M.E., USA, Soya and Oilseed Bluebook (**2012**)

24. Statistical Analysis System, SAS Release 9.1, For Windows, SAS Institute Inc., cary. (2003)

25. Tefera H., Breeding for Promiscuous Soybeans at IITA, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Chitedze Agricultural Research Station, P.O. Box 30258, Lilongwe Malawi (2020)

26.Yemare K., Derbew B. and Fetien A., Effect of intra-row spacing on plant growth and yield of Onion varieties (*Allium cepaL.*) at Aksum, northern Ethiopia, *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **9**(10), 931-940 (2014).

(Received 22nd December 2020, accepted 05th March 2021)