

Peer Review Policy:

All research articles in our journals will undergo rigorous blind peer review, based on initial editor screening and refereeing by at least two anonymous referees. Our journals publish original works.

The practice of peer review is to ensure that good research is published. It is an objective process carried out by all reputable scientific journals. Our reviewers play a vital role in maintaining the high standards.

Manuscript evaluation: As soon as we receive the manuscript, we evaluate at our own end. It is rare, but it is possible for an exceptional manuscript to be accepted at this stage. Manuscripts rejected at this stage are insufficiently original, have serious flaws, have poor grammar or English language, or are outside the aims and scope of the journal. Now manuscripts are sent to two reviewers anywhere in the world. This process is strictly confidential.

Type of Peer Review is double blind peer review where both the reviewers and authors remain anonymous throughout the process.

Whenever possible, reviewers are matched to the paper according to their expertise and our database is constantly being updated. Reviewers are asked to evaluate whether the manuscript: - Is original - Is methodologically sound - Follows appropriate ethical guidelines - Has results which are clearly presented and support the conclusions - Correctly references previous relevant work. Language correction is not part of the peer review process, but reviewers may, if so wish, suggest corrections to the manuscript.

Review process takes 60 days normally. If both the reviewers accept, then manuscript is treated as accepted. If one reviewer accepts and one rejects, then manuscript is sent to third reviewer. If both reviewers reject, then manuscript is treated as rejected. If reviewers make any recommendation for major revision or so, then authors are informed accordingly and then authors should resubmit the manuscript after revising it.

Editor's Decision is final for every manuscript.