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**Abstract**

Globally, disasters constantly challenge the capacity of humans to adapt to its consequences and endure the impact. It comprises a range of impacts including loss of life and properties, risk of mental health issues like post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, grief, anxiety etc. The disaster risk relies upon the individual’s exposure to disaster vulnerability and resilience. It also includes the needs of a vulnerable population including older adults and the presence of secondary stressors within the affected community. Therefore, older adults are found to be a highly vulnerable age group during every disaster. Especially in developing countries, older adults face numerous issues and challenges due to inadequate disaster infrastructure and response, which are often associated with poor quality of life outcomes.

The present study tries to conceptualize the significance of disaster vulnerability, resilience as a decisive factor for the quality of life (QoL) of older adults in the natural disaster. Further, the current study highlights the functional relationship between disaster phases, vulnerability, resilience, psychosocial factors and preparedness measures to ensure a better quality of life for older adults.
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**Introduction**

The individual’s ratio of risk to susceptibility is considered as vulnerability. It has four major categorizes including physical vulnerability, social vulnerability, economic vulnerability and environmental vulnerability. Thus, when environmental variables meet with these vulnerabilities, they produce an undesirable outcome for individuals and communities as whole.

Similarly, the climate change, urban migration, high population growth rate and higher demand for natural resources may increase the frequency and intensity of the disasters worldwide. Thus, people need better defence system to tackle the disasters. Hereby the disaster resilience can help individuals, communities and countries to mitigate the severe consequences of these hazards through various strategic measures.

Similarly, government should opt strategies like “building disaster resilience” to help the communities and countries to prepare and recover from the emergencies like earthquakes, drought, floods, or cyclones in an effective manner.

**Genesis of vulnerability theory**

The concept of the vulnerability emerged during 1970s when the losses from the disasters were frequently increasing whereas the occurrence of the disaster events remained the same throughout the world. Then after, the disaster vulnerability is used to explain the overall susceptibility of individuals, communities and countries concerning the collective losses from the disaster. It is an unsafe condition, including risky locations, destabilized infrastructures and poor disaster preparedness. It also includes the magnitude of damage that affects the people’s functioning, well-being and societal systems.

Further, McEntire conceptualized disaster-related vulnerability into four components: susceptibility, risk, resilience and resistance. Susceptibility indicates the possibility of people’s suffering, distress and injury from the hazards whereas the risk specifies the destruction of the physical community infrastructures and individual assets. On the other hand, the resilience is the capacity of peoples or community to bounce back into their normal functioning in positive adaptive manner. Similarly, resistance highlights the strength of physical structures including buildings, roads, bridges, public housing etc. to bear adversities without any damage.

Additionally, the disaster vulnerability is constituted by both physical and social means of the environment. The physical environment includes buildings, roads, bridges, technological infrastructure etc. whereas the social environment includes the socio-economic, political and cultural aspects of the communities. Therefore, based on the impact of physical and social environments on individual’s susceptibility to hazard, Zakour and Gillespie divided these vulnerabilities into two major categories such as liabilities and capabilities.

**Liabilities:** It includes aging population, low incomes, endemic disease, unprotected physical infrastructures and poorly functional institutions providing resources to people. Similarly, underdeveloped communities and regions also suffer disproportionately from social problems like hunger, mental illness issues, drug and alcohol abuse, homelessness, poverty, violence etc. Liabilities that lead to
increased susceptibility of individuals include female roles, endemic poverty, racism, trade fluctuations, underdevelopment and a history of colonial exploitation. These liabilities increase disaster susceptibility and instigate the trauma, various losses and severe stress reactions.

**Capabilities:** Environmental capabilities are shaped by various aspects of the social, physical and natural environment, that providing necessary resources to mitigate, prepare, respond and recover from the disasters. These environmental capabilities are in the form of social capital including tangible and intangible resources deep-rooted in social networks and community social structure. However, many communities are possessing considerable strengths and environmental resources but cannot access social and physical resources due to the marginal population. Additionally, the capabilities include liberal access to all forms of services, accessible client-centered services and enough social organizations that provide disaster-specific interventions and advanced coordination among disaster processes i.e. prevention, preparedness, response, relief and recovery.

**Disaster Resilience**

Peoples experience adverse impacts from the twists and turns of everyday life challenges in terms of deaths of loved ones, life-altering incidences life-threatening medical illnesses etc. These changes like the floods of thought, strong emotions and uncertainty affect people differently. People mainly adopt changes during life-changing situations and stressful situations in a well-settled manner due to resilience. Historically, the concept of resiliency is constructed on Bandura’s self-efficacy theory. The theory describes the internal belief of the person to complete a specific task. It includes reactions like self-satisfying and self-dissatisfying to an individual’s performance, perceived self-efficacy to individual’s goal attainment and goal re-adjustment based on an individual’s progress.

Further, the perceived self-efficacy includes people’s beliefs about their capabilities or abilities to generate the required level of performance to control those actions which affect their lives. Similarly, success comes to those who believe they have enough internal courage to control the unwanted behaviors and master the given task despite endless challenges or adversities such as disaster. Bandura’s individual or environment safeguards factors are protective factors that increase the individual’s ability to resist risk, foster adaptation and capabilities organization.

Thus, the involvement of resilience in “bouncing back” from adverse life experiences leads to profound personal growth among the individuals. Similarly, the concept of resilience is not only associated with individual’s capacities but also used for the larger extension such as to enhance community or country’s capacities to rebuild after disasters. There are plenty of national and international organizations who are working in the field of disaster resilience and sharing their experiences globally to make earth as a safe place for everyone.

The functional relationship of disaster vulnerability, resilience and psycho-social factors determines the overall quality of life (QoL) of the older adults. The detailed structure is presented in figure 1. It noticeably highlights the need of the disaster preparedness at each phase of the disaster management cycle to shape the better outcomes for peoples, especially for the older adults.

**Disaster phases and preparedness**

The disaster-literature has given great exertion to the conceptualization of the recurring phases of disaster into four parts including mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery. Such conceptualization assisted the individuals and community to enhance their strengths and capacities to address the emergencies effectively. Each phase of the disaster preparedness required distinct resources including comprehensive planning, updated information, trained human resource, emergency infrastructure, health care facilities etc. Though plenty of researches have discussed these issues thoroughly, still fewer areas require special consideration and affirmative action during such emergencies. These areas also include the concerns of the vulnerable population, especially older adults. Their concerns are hardly addressed during crisis.

During every disaster, relatively older adults, people living with chronic illness, mobility issues, or cognitive impairment are permanently vulnerable to the hazards and need assistive devices or constant medical care to survive. Thus, it is needed to evaluate the disaster mitigation strategies and approaches to cover those areas who have not considered as a part of disaster management policy and programme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definitions of Resilience</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR)</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Psychological Association (APA)</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Role of disaster vulnerabilities
The vulnerability is a human aspect of the disaster instigated by economic, social, cultural, institutional, political and psychological factors. It shapes the individual’s life and their native environment. The amount of individual’s physical, economic and attitudinal vulnerabilities decides the magnitude of the disaster impact. The physical vulnerability depends upon the geographical location such as individual staying near the coastal line or insecure mountainous range being more vulnerable during the disasters than others. It may restrict their reach to essential services, communication, emergency networks, hospital etc. Similarly, the damages to earning sources such as farmland, livestock, capital etc. fall under the economic vulnerability of the individual and community. Thus, the financial stability of the individuals, communities, or countries decides the degree of economic vulnerability during such disasters. Further, inability of the individuals to resist the adverse impact of the hazards due to feeble family structure, lack of leadership, conflict resolution, poor decision-making at the family level determine their social vulnerability during the disasters.

It also includes lack of community organization and discrimination based on race, ethnicity, languages or religion at community level. Similarly, the negative attitude of the individual or community towards the changes makes them dependent and gradually leads to conflicts, helplessness, hopelessness and pessimism falling under their attitudinal vulnerability.

Thus, these vulnerabilities of the individuals or community make them highly vulnerable to numerous hazards during the emergencies. More importantly, disaster preparedness is the only measure to enhance their awareness regarding the adversity of the situations to reduce the possible consequences of the disasters. Though the disaster cannot be controlled, but the initiation of the preventive measures at the pre-disaster phase may reduce the magnitude of the impact, especially for the vulnerable population groups.

Role of disaster resilience
The individual’s capacity to cope up with life-changing situations predicts the outcomes of any disaster. Mostly, disasters like tsunami, cyclones, earthquakes, drought, floods etc. bring unfavourable situations including psychological crisis, emotional breakdown, grief etc. Among the individuals, similarly, people with a lower-income background, inadequate social support and high level of non-organizational religiosity, witnessed disaster recently and severe trauma related to disaster are at high risk of psychological adversities. Even after the disaster, their psychological vulnerability is high because disaster preparedness and resilience measures are not designed according to their needs.

Thus, their concerns must be incorporated in disaster preparedness to enhance their disaster resilience. Similarly, the efforts of the organizations like “aging-in-place” of the United States of America must be connected with preparedness measures to enhance the disaster resilience of vulnerable population including older adults.

Role of the psycho-social risk factors
Multiple psycho-social factor increases the older adult’s disaster vulnerability. Therefore, they always require special attention from the disaster planners for integration of their concerns into the plan of action. These factors may influence older adults differently, but their psychological responses are
essential in disaster preparedness planning and service deliveries. Their pre-disaster physical health issues, including diabetes, hypertension, dementia and other life-threatening illnesses, need intensive medical supervision and care after the disaster.

Disaster may result in various health effects including psychological reactions of the older adults to disaster. The likelihood of post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety, substance abuse, grief, suicidal ideations is high among older adults than others, especially during post-disaster situation. These mental health concerns significantly burden individuals, families, or socio-economic networks. Thus, factors that enhance older adult’s psychological vulnerability during natural disasters should be identified at the preparedness level and assimilated into the action strategies. Additionally, during post-disaster factors like financial crisis, inadequate housing facilities, displacement due to hazards, social isolation from the family and friends, flawed social support system etc. should be evaluated to reduce the health vulnerability of older adults, especially mental health concerns. Therefore, effective planning and preparedness at the pre-disaster phase are essential to protect them from the worse impact of the disaster.

Quality of life of the older adults

Though, disasters negatively impact quality of life of the people, still older adult’s quality of life depends upon their disaster risk perception toward the hazards. Disaster may have a long-lasting impact on the older adult’s mental health and well-being, especially those who came directly in contact of the hazards. The disorders like dysthymia, anxiety disorder and social phobia are significantly associated with the individual’s poor health-related quality of life before and after exposure to the psychiatric illness.

Thus, older adults with these disorders are highly vulnerable and need public assistance to deal with emergencies situation like natural disasters. Therefore, enhancing the perceived social support and community-level support can reduce the older adult’s post-disaster stress.

Conclusion

The existing disaster literature has highlighted the various aspects of the older adult’s issues and concerns, especially during the natural disaster. But the cumulative effects of the disaster vulnerability and resilience on quality of life of the older adults were not investigated adequately. These broader concepts must be considered and addressed before any natural calamities to reduce the magnitude of the impact. The integrating of older adult’s disaster-related vulnerability, resilience and psycho-social risk factors into the disaster preparedness measure can improve their quality of life during these disasters.

Similarly, psychiatric co-morbidities, physical health issues, social risk factors and social-support or community support systems should be considered to build a better disaster-specific intervention plan for “during” or “aftermath” situations of the disasters.
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